Leveraging Family History in Case-Control Analyses of

Rare Variation

³ Claudia R. Solis-Lemus^{1*}, S. Taylor Fischer^{2*}, Andrei Todor¹, Cuining Liu³, Elizabeth J. Leslie¹,
⁴ David J. Cutler¹, Debashis Ghosh³, Michael P. Epstein¹

* Joint first author

1

2

5

¹ Department of Human Genetics, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

² Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

³ Department of Biostatistics and Informatics, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO

⁶ Supplementary Material

Table 1: Empirical type I error rates for burden, SKAT, and ordinal GAMuT methods from 10,000 null simulations assuming 750 subjects per group, a 10kb region, and rare variants defined as those with MAF < 3%.

			$\alpha = 0.1$			$\alpha = 0.05$			$\alpha = 0.01$			$\alpha = 0.001$	
λ	Prevalence	Burden	SKAT	GAMuT	Burden	SKAT	GAMuT	Burden	SKAT	GAMuT	Burden	SKAT	GAMuT
2	0.01	0.1011	0.1126	0.1079	0.0500	0.0575	0.0556	0.0103	0.0134	0.0130	0.0006	0.0020	0.0015
	0.05	0.1084	0.1014	0.1089	0.0534	0.0530	0.0581	0.0107	0.0111	0.0111	0.0009	0.0017	0.0015
4	0.01	0.1038	0.1161	0.1078	0.0510	0.0615	0.0568	0.0109	0.0136	0.0135	0.0008	0.0017	0.0014
	0.05	0.1061	0.1092	0.1083	0.0553	0.0536	0.0542	0.0115	0.0119	0.0100	0.0012	0.0015	0.0015

Abbreviations: λ , conditional recurrence risk ratio; α , significance threshold; MAF, minor allele frequency

Table 2: Average computing time for one test from 1,000 null simulations assuming $\lambda = 8$ and target disease prevalence 0.05.

Region Size (kb)	Sample Size (Per Group)	Ordinal C	AMIT Time (coc moon	[GD])	SKAT Time	(see mean	[SD])
rtegion bize (kb)	Dampie Dize (rer Group)	Orumar O.	Amur Time (sec, mean		SIGAT TIME	(see, mean	

	750	0.40 (0.08)	0.10(0.02)
10	1000	0.56(0.13)	0.15 (0.03)
10	1500	1.12(0.32)	$0.28 \ (0.05)$
	2500	3.15(0.8)	$0.52 \ (0.08)$
	750	1.30(0.22)	0.59(0.1)
50	1000	2.23(0.41)	0.90(0.16)
50	1500	5.10(0.96)	1.83(0.37)
	2500	13.27(2.64)	3.51(0.59)
	·		

Abbreviations: λ , conditional recurrence risk ratio

7 Enrichment of Causal Variants

⁸ In Figure 1, we show that, as expected, the average number of causal rare variants is greater for the cases with

⁹ family history, followed by cases without family history, and lastly for controls. This simulated dataset comprises of

¹⁰ 1000 controls, 1000 cases without family history, and 1000 cases with family history for three levels of conditional

¹¹ recurrence risk ratios (columns: $\lambda = 2, 4, 8$) and 2 siblings as family history. The effect size was set as C = 2.



Figure 1: Average of 1000 simulations of number of causal rare variants (left) and probability of disease (right) in proband for three groups: controls, cases without family history, and cases with family history under two disease prevalences (red=0.01, blue=0.05), with one (top) or two (bottom) siblings, and three conditional recurrence risk ratios as columns.



Figure 2: Power across 1000 simulations in which there were 4 causal rare variants per region with MAF between 0.01 and 0.001 with a large effect size (C = 12) at a target disease prevalence of 5%.



Figure 3: Power across 1000 simulations using a 50kb region, effect size C=4, and a target disease prevalence of 0.05.



Figure 4: Power across 1000 simulations at a reduced target disease prevalence of 0.001 with causal rare variants of moderate effect size (C = 4, 6) and $\lambda = 4, 8$ assuming 750 subjects per group.